## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## PATENT APPLICATION

Application No.: 10/815,430 Confirmation No. 7637

Applicant: Plow et al. Filed: March 31, 2004

Art Unit: 2179 Examiner: Thuy Thanh Osberg

Docket No.: SVL920030143US1 Customer No.: 55070

Title: Dynamic Materialization of Disappearing Entities

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW SUMMARY

SIR:

Applicants' representative, Janet M. Skafar, thanks Examiner Osberg for the telephonic Examiner's Interview on August 10, 2007.

The rejection of Claim 14 under 35 USC § 101 was clarified.

The rejection of Claims 1 and 6 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by the Martinez et al. patent (U.S. Patent No. 6147683, referred to as Martinez) was discussed. No agreement was reached.

As to Claim 1, Applicants' representative generally argued that Martinez does not teach the materialization entity of the claimed invention. As to Claim 6,

Docket No.: SVL920030143US1

Appl. No.: 10/815,430

Applicant Initiated Interview Summary

Applicants' representative generally argued that Martinez does not teach that when at least a portion of the materialization entity is scrolled out of view, displaying the materialization area.

Respectfully submitted,

September 21, 2007

Janet M. Skafar, Attorney

Reg. No. 41,315

Correspondence Customer No. 55070

Telephone: (650)988-0655 Facsimile: (408) 463-4827